UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,686	04/19/2005	Takanori Shimizu	122760	8331
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 03/04/2009 PIDGE PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	850	SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review	Application/Control No. 10/524,686 Taofiq Solola	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination SHIMIZU ET AL. Art Unit 1625	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review file	d 13 January 2009.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper and a cor reason(s): 	ference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent wit ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal ☐ Other: 	appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of A	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interference held. The application remains under appeal because there is a is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CF brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, o running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is g appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	t least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant R 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal r the balance of the two-month time period reater. Further, the time period for filing of the
 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,5,7,9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains clapplicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. Th action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant	
All participants:	
(1) Janet L. Andres. (3)Rot	p <u>ert Wax</u> .
(2) <u>Taofiq Solola</u> . (4)	 :